

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,982	12/03/2001	Marshall R. Millikan	10051	9219
26884 7	7590 10/01/2004		EXAMI	INER
PAUL W. MARTIN			LANEAU, RONALD	
LAW DEPARTMENT, WHQ-4				
1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001			3627	

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/004,982	MILLIKAN, MARSHALL R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ronald Laneau	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 July 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 7/12/2004 has been entered. New claims 17-22 are added and claims 1-22 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crabtree et al. (6,295,367 B1) in view of Cataline, et al. (US 2002/0116331 A1).

Crabtree discloses a method and system of operating a service device such as a banking machine or self-service check out (cols. 1-2 and 37-38) comprising: Beginning a transaction on the automated service device in connection with a current user (cols. 37-38 - the tracing information is useful in determining the interaction of a person with a banking machine, a help desk, particular merchant display, etc. - detecting an occurrence or non-occurrence of a transaction between an object in the scene;

Obtaining data regarding a queue of potential users of the automated service device (cols. 37-38., col. 39 - tracking information is useful in determining when a queue (line) of objects is formed in the scene, i.e. a line of customers in a retail establishment; may also be used to measure the amount of time individuals spend in observation of an area of interest, such as a promotional display, an information kiosk, ATM machine, etc.);

Application/Control Number: 10/004,982

Art Unit: 3627

Determining whether to provide an optional communication to the current user of the automated service device based on the obtained queue data (col. 2, cols. 37-38, and col. 39 - desirable to monitor behavior of consumers in various locations of a retail establishment in order to provide information concerning the sequence of events and decisions that a consumer makes because it is useful in determining adjusting location and features of services provided in a bank, changing merchandising strategies and display arrangements, etc., tracking information is useful in determining when a queue (line) of objects is formed in the scene, i.e. a line of customers in a retail establishment; may also be used to measure the amount of time individuals spend in observation of an area of interest, such as a promotional display, an information kiosk, ATM machine, etc. - total time that a track of an object spend in that particular area of interest of the scene is accumulated to determine dwell time).

Crabtree does not specifically disclose that optional communications are provided based upon the queue date. Cataline discloses that ATMS and standalone other client devices may be optimized based upon a payment system that is design to reduce the process time, decrease user confusion, reduce costs to the host financial institution, utilized an integrated mediation engine to increase flexibility to the host as well as the institution and take into account that rules and criteria evolve over time to reject refinements to the transaction model and other evolving criteria, specifically that clients may be able to pay bills and transfer funds (optional communications) when and where they want, in a selectable, integrated and optimized manner (determined by the system) based on multiple variable (Abstract; Figs. 1, 2 and 4 (optional communications are stored in the data storage and prepared in conjunction with the processor and network for passage to the ATM/standalone client other devices); [0002]-[0004], [0013]-

Application/Control Number: 10/004,982

Art Unit: 3627

[0014], [0020]-[0028], [0030], [0035]-[0040], [0042]-[0046]). Cataline further teaches a display module 108 in communication with a processor and operative to support a transaction on the automated service system by a current user as claimed (see fig. 1, 108), a memory (data storage 114) that can contain a plurality of program instructions as claimed. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the optional communications of Cataline into Crabtree because of the specific reasons set forth in Crabtree, i.e. the desirability to provide monitor behavior of consumers in order so that adjustments can be made to the location and features of the ATMS and thus enhance customer service and satisfaction by decreasing the amount of time they have to wait in line and to decrease costs to consumers and the institutions, See, e.g. Patent 6,173,209, col. 1.

As per claims 2-4, Crabtree discloses obtaining the queue length, number of potential users of the automated service device and comparing the obtained queue data against a queue threshold (cols. 5-39).

As per claims 5 and 6, neither Crabtree nor Cataline disclose providing the optional communication when the queue threshold has not been met or in the alternative not providing the optional communication if the queue threshold has been met or updating the data while a user is making a transaction. However, the motivation to do so is clearly taught by Crabtree and the desire of customers not to have to wait in line as previously set forth in the earlier rejection and as is well known in the retail art queue lengths adjust as people come and go. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to update the data from the queuing mechanism and to have utilized the queue threshold of Crabtree to determine whether or not to employ an optional communication in Crabtree in the method of

Application/Control Number: 10/004,982 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

Crabtree because it would have further enhanced the benefits set forth above, i.e. provide information concerning the sequence of events and decisions that a consumer makes because it is useful in determining adjusting location and features of services provided in a bank, changing merchandising strategies and display arrangements, etc., tracking information is useful in determining when a queue (line) of objects is formed in the scene, i.e. a line of customers in a retail establishment; may also be used to measure the amount of time individuals spend in observation of an area of interest, such as a promotional display, an information kiosk, ATM machine and the desirability to provide monitor behavior of consumers in order so that adjustments can be made to the location and features of the ATMS and thus enhance customer service and satisfaction by decreasing the amount of time they have to wait in line and to decrease costs to consumers and the institutions.

As per claims 7-16, Crabtree discloses and automated service system that comprises a processor, queue detector in communication with said processor, display and memory in communication with said processor. However, Crabtree discloses the deficiencies set forth above. It would have been obvious to have the memory and processor utilize Cataline's optional communications for the reasons set forth above. System claims 8-12 are rejected for the same reasons set forth above.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3627

Applicant argues that Cataline does not teach "a commercial banking machine such as an ATM". Contrary to applicant's arguments, Cataline does teach an automated teller machine which is an ATM as claimed (pages 2-3, [0030], line 11). Furthermore, applicant argues that neither Crabtree nor Cataline discloses or suggests "altering banking machine functionality available to a current user based on the size of a queue line of potential users waiting to use the banking machine after the current user is finished". Contrary to applicant's arguments, this feature is not in the claims and as a result a response to this argument is moot. Applicant's arguments having been found unpersuasive, the rejection has not been withdrawn.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3627

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (703) 305-3973. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Wida dam 9/27/04 Wimany Examiner

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RL

Ronald Laneau Examiner

Art Unit 3627

rl